

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,515	10/27/2003	Stephan Herman Wendel	06456 USA	5413
23543	7590 08/26/2004		EXAMINER	
AIR PRODUCTS AND CHEMICALS, INC. PATENT DEPARTMENT			COONEY, JOHN M	
	7201 HAMILTON BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALLENTOW	, PA 181951501		1711	
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>y</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/695,515	WENDEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John m Cooney	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  irty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) □ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers	or election requirement.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		by the Evenine
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papel cartier from the International Rev	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a		roccived
and an analysis and an	not of the certified copies flot i	icceiveu.
ttachmont/e)		
ttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)  /08)  5)  Notice of In	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
r ader Norshvian Date	6) 🔲 Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,515

Art Unit: 1711

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to catalyst composition, classified in class 502, subclass 100.
- II. Claims 15-34, drawn to foam forming formulation and method for its formation, classified in class 521, subclass 155.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I. and II. are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a catalyst in the formation of two-component urethane coating compositions and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1711

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Michael Leach on 8-25-04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

COUP 1700